Case 1:15-cr-00038-AJN Document 25 Filed 06/09/15 Page 1 of 12

F5DVCHEC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 V. 15 CR 38 (AJN) 5 CHENG LE, 6 Defendant. CURCIO HEARING -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. May 13, 2015 9 12:10 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. ALISON J. NATHAN, 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 PREET BHARARA, 16 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 17 ILAN T. GRAFF Assistant United States Attorney 18 PATRICK J. BRACKLEY 19 Attorney for Defendant 20 21 22 23 24 25

II FOUVCHEC

(Case called)

MR. GRAFF: Good afternoon, your Honor.

Ilan Graff for the government.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Graff.

MR. BRACKLEY: Good afternoon, Judge.

Patrick Brackley for Mr. Cheng Le, who is here present.

And, Judge, I appreciate the efforts of you and your staff and the government to accommodating me this morning.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brackley. And good afternoon. And good afternoon, Mr. Le.

Please be seated.

We're here today at the government's request for a hearing pursuant to a case called *United States v. Curcio*. And this is for me to advise you, Mr. Le, of your right to counsel without conflict, and determine if you desire to and are prepared to go forward with Mr. Brackley representing you. I received the government's request, which was filed under seal on April 15th, 2015.

Mr. Le, you're currently represented by Mr. Patrick
Brackley, as you know. What I understand from the government's
letter is that Mr. Brackley has been retained by your parents
to serve as your lawyer in this matter, so they are paying his
bills. And the purpose of this proceeding is to make sure that

you understand the potential risks from having Mr. Brackley serve as your attorney under these circumstances, and to make sure that you've carefully considered these risks and are making an informed and voluntary decision about who you want as your attorney.

As I'll get to a little bit later, one option is that you don't have to decide today; I can adjourn the hearing today, I can appoint another lawyer, an independent lawyer, free of cost to you, to represent you solely for purposes of advising you as to whether or not you should proceed with Mr. Brackley. So that's an option that we'll discuss.

But to make sure that you understand the nature of the potential conflicts here, I am going to ask you some questions today related to these matters. And to do that, I need to have my deputy place you under oath. So I'll ask you to please stand and raise your right hand.

(Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: I'm going to ask you -- you may be seated.

Thank you. I'm going to ask you some preliminary questions,

Mr. Le, just to make sure that you are capable of understanding the proceedings today.

How old are you, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm 21 years old.

THE COURT: How far did you go in school?

THE DEFENDANT: I finished high school.

```
1
               THE COURT: Are you currently consulting a doctor for
 2
      any reason?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT:
 4
               THE COURT: Psychiatrist?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: No.
               THE COURT: Have you taken any medicine, drugs, pills,
 6
 7
      or alcohol in the last 24 hours?
               THE DEFENDANT: No.
 8
9
               THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for drug or
10
      alcohol addiction?
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
12
               THE COURT: And are you feeling well today?
13
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
14
               THE COURT: Understand what's happening here today?
15
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
               THE COURT: I want to make sure that you understand in
16
17
      every criminal case, including this one, a defendant is
18
      entitled to the assistance of counsel whose loyalty to him is
19
      undivided and who is not subject to any force or consideration
20
      that might in any way intrude upon the attorney's loyalty to
21
      his client's interest. Do you understand that point?
2.2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
               THE COURT: And are you currently represented by
24
     Mr. Patrick Brackley?
25
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

influenced to advise you to do things that are in the third parties' interests and not in your best interests. For example, if the third party might be implicated in the alleged crime, the third party might have an interest in having Mr. Brackley advise you to accept sole responsibility for the unlawful scheme.

Alternatively, if the third party was or believes themselves to have been an intended victim of the alleged crime, the third party may have an interest in ensuring you receive a substantial sentence.

Do you understand these possibilities?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that this potential conflict has existed since Mr. Brackley first began representing you in January 2015?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, because of this possible conflict, I'm going to advise you of certain matters.

You should understand that under the United States

Constitution and laws of this country, you are entitled to the aid and assistance of counsel at all times in these proceedings; you are entitled to counsel of your choice, unless there's a strong legal reason for disqualifying that counsel.

If you, yourself, cannot afford an attorney, an attorney will be appointed to represent you without cost.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: It's essential for purposes of your defense in a criminal proceeding that your attorney has no conflicts or adverse interests of any kind; that is to say, he or she cannot, unless it is with your knowledge and consent, have any conflicting interests in the case.

As I said earlier, you have the right to the assistance of a lawyer whose loyalty to you is undivided and not subject to any factor that might intrude upon that loyalty. And the purpose of this law is to ensure that you have a fully devoted defense furnished to you by any attorney who has no other possible interest of any kind in this matter.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Mr. Brackley being paid by a third party to this case creates the potential that he may have allegiance or interests that may be adverse to your own?

Do you understand that potential?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: It's not possible for me to think through all of the potential conflicts that could result, but let me give you some examples of ways in which the potential allegiance of Mr. Brackley to a third party could adversely

affect his representation of you in this case.

It could affect the way that Mr. Brackley considers and advises you whether and when you should plead guilty, whether you should seek to cooperate with the government, what defenses you should raise, whether you should testify at trial, which witnesses should be cross-examined, and what questions they should be asked, which witnesses should be called, and what other evidence to offer on your behalf, what arguments to make on your behalf to the jury, what arguments to make to me, the Court, and what facts to bring to the Court's attention before trial, during trial, or at your sentencing, if you are convicted.

Do you understand these possibilities?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: What I'd like to ask you to do now just to confirm that you understand is to please describe for me in your own words your understanding of the conflict of interest that potentially arises here.

THE DEFENDANT: If a third party pays for my lawyer, my lawyer could be influenced by whoever is paying instead of having solely interest in me.

THE COURT: All right. I do think that you state it well and that you understand.

As I mentioned earlier, I can appoint an independent attorney free of cost to consult with you regarding these

I F5DVCHEC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

conflicts of interest. You understand that you have a right to consult with an independent lawyer to determine whether you wish Mr. Brackley to represent you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If that is what your choice will be, you should understand that this attorney would not be associated with Mr. Brackley, and that anything you say to that attorney would be a secret between you and them; the attorney cannot tell Mr. Brackley, the government, or me about your discussions.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Brackley, have you discussed the potential conflicts of interest with Mr. Le?

MR. BRACKLEY: I have, Judge.

THE COURT: Do you feel that he understands the possible risks of being represented by a lawyer with the potential conflict of interest here?

MR. BRACKLEY: Completely.

THE COURT: Is there anything else you'd like me to state or inquire about in this regard?

MR. BRACKLEY: No, Judge. I'm satisfied.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Le, as I said, I'm prepared to adjourn this proceeding for several days so that you could consult with an independent attorney, if you'd like. Would you

like me to adjourn to give you more time to think through this 1 matter and meet with an independent attorney or do you feel 2 3 ready to proceed? 4 THE DEFENDANT: That wouldn't be necessary. 5 THE COURT: Not necessary? Okay. 6 Is there anything that I've said that you wish to have 7 explained further? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No. 9 THE COURT: Anything that's unclear? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: And you've indicated you don't wish to 11 12 consult with an independent attorney. 13 THE DEFENDANT: No. 14 THE COURT: And do you still wish to proceed with 15 Mr. Brackley in this case? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Have you received any inducements, 18 promises, or threats with respect to your decision about this potential conflict? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: No. 21 THE COURT: And are you making your -- so you've just 22 indicated that you'll waive your right to conflict-free 23 representation. Is that waiver voluntary? You made that 24 decision voluntarily?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

25

THE COURT: Do you agree to waive any and all future arguments on appeal or otherwise that you were denied effective assistance of counsel because Mr. Brackley's fee was paid by your parents in this case? Are you willing to waive any such arguments in the future?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Graff, anything further you wish for me to inquire about?

MR. GRAFF: Your Honor, I believe that the Court has thoroughly addressed all of the relevant issues.

It may be appropriate just to confirm with Mr. Le that he understands — what his understanding is of the effective assistance of counsel as it pertains to his constitutional rights, but I would leave that to the Court's discretion.

THE COURT: That's fine.

So just on the last question, Mr. Le, as I said, the Constitution and laws of this country entitle you to the representation of counsel; and that means counsel that can effectively represent your interests; and, as I said at the beginning, that includes undivided loyalty to you; and so that the person will zealously and fully represent your interests.

So part of what your waiver here of conflict-free representation means is that down the road if you were convicted and sentenced, you'd waive your ability to argue that you didn't receive that effective assistance of counsel as a

result of the fact that Mr. Brackley is being paid -- his fees 1 2 are being paid --3 THE DEFENDANT: By the fact that he is being paid by a 4 third party, yes. 5 THE COURT: Exactly. 6 You understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Graff? 8 9 MR. GRAFF: No. Thank you, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Brackley? 11 MR. BRACKLEY: No, Judge. Thank you. THE COURT: I do conclude, based on what's before me, 12 13 including Mr. Le's clear understanding, in my view, of the nature of the potential conflict here, that he has knowingly 14 15 and voluntarily waived his right to conflict-free representation. I am fully satisfied that he understands the 16 17 issues and, based on what's in front of me, I am satisfied that 18 we can proceed and we can proceed with Mr. Brackley 19 representing him. 20 Mr. Brackley, anything else I can address? 21 Mr. Graff? 22 MR. GRAFF: No. Thank you, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Brackley? 24 MR. BRACKLEY: No. Thank you, Judge. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. We are adjourned.